•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Interview Summary	09/270,780	HIYAMA ET AL.		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mike Qi	2871		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Mike Qi</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Melvin Kraus</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 01 May 2002.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant	2) applicant's repres	entative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The suplementary amendment is conflicting with the Final Rejection at the same date. Therefore, a Rejection for the suplemetory amenement is presented.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a checked).	a separate record of the su	ibstance of the interview(if box is		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
	PRIMA	DANTON RY EXAMINER		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examine	r's signature, if required		

Interview Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/270,780	HIYAMA ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mike Qi	2871	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Mike Qi</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Melvin Kraus</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>01 May 2002</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)  applicant's representativ	re]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)[	⊠ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The suplementary amendate</u> . Therefore, a Rejection for the suplemetory amenement	ndment is conflicting with the F		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that v		
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a second checked).	eparate record of the substanc	ce of the interview(if box is	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	<ul><li>/. (See MPEP Section 713.04 MONTH FROM THIS INTER</li></ul>	). If a reply to the last Office VIEW DATE TO FILE A	
	,		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Miki Q.  Evaminar's sign	nature, if required	
Attachment to a signed Office action.	LAGITITIO 3 SIGI	iaiaro, ii roquirou	